FB 1 1 2003

Practitioner's D cket No. _

P-1000

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Stefan O. Dick, et al.

Application No.: 09/649,903 Group No.: 3728

Filed: Aug. 28, 2000 Examiner: Mohandesi, Jila M.

For: PACKAGING CONTAINER FOR ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS

Confirmation No. 7709

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment - See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

	STATUS	RECEIVED
2. Applicant is		FEB 1 9 2003
a small entity. A sta	atement:	TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700
is attached.		
☐ was already file	ed.	• •
other than a small e	entity.	
(When using Expre	TION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) a ess Mail, the Express Mail label number Express Mail certification is optional.)	
I hereby certify that, on the date show	wn below, this correspondence is being	ŗ.
/	MAILING	
deposited with the United States for Patents, Washington, D.C. 202	Postal Service in an envelope address 231	ed to the Assistant Commissioner
/ 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	•	C.F.R. § 1.10 *
with sufficient postage as first cla	ass mail. as "Express Mail Po	
	Mailing Label No	(mandatory)
	TRANSMISSION	
facsimile transmitted to the Paten Date: Feb. 5 2003	· f . J	Ly Goodlitt
Date: 411. 5 2003	Dorothy (Goodlett
	(type or print name of p	person certifying)

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 1 of 4)

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . .an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity		
one month two months three months four months	\$ 110.00 \$ 400.00 \$ 920.00 \$ 1,440.00	\$ 55.00 \$ 200.00 \$ 460.00 \$ 720.00		

Fee: \$_____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for	months has already been secured. The	fee
paid therefor of \$	is deducted from the total fee due for the t	otal
months of extension now req	uested.	

Extension fee due with this request \$_____

 ×

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 2 of 4)

FEE F R CLAIMS

	(Col. 1)		(C	ol. 2)	(C	ol. 3)	SMAL	L ENTITY			THAN A
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		PRE\	EST NO MOUSLY D FOR		ESENT KTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTAL	• 17	MINUS	. 2	L	=	0	x\$9=	\$		x\$18=	\$
INDEP.	• 2	MINUS	•••	4	=	0	x\$42=	\$		x\$84 =	\$
☐ FIRS	ST PRESENTATION	N OF MULT	TIPLE D	EP. CLAI	М		+\$140=	\$		+\$280=	\$
	If the entry in Col			 			TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	
••	If the "Highest No. If the "Highest No. The "Highest No. box in Col. 1 of a RING: "After fine	 Previously Previously prior amendal rejection of 	y Paid f y Paid f Paid Fo ndment or action	for IN THE For IN TI or (Total or the nu	HIS SP. HIS SF or inde imber I) amer	ACE is PACE is ep.) is to of claim of c	less than less than he highes as original s may be i	3, enter t t number lly filed. made cand	"3." found elling	claims or	complying
	with any	requiremen						-	16(a) (emphasis	added).
	/					_	plicable	:)			
(c)	図. No additi	onal fee	for cla	aims is	requi	red.					
•					OR						
(d)	☐ Total add	litional fe	e for	claims r	equir	ed \$ _			 •		
				FEE F	PAYN	MENT	•				
	Attached is a	☐ che	ck 🗀	money	orde	er in	the amo	ount of S	\$		
	☐ to Deposit Account No										
	to Credit form PTC		showr	on the	atta	ched	credit c	ard infor	matic	on autho	orization
WAR	NING: Credit car	rd informati	ion sho	uld not be	e inclu	ded on	this form	as it may	becoi	ne public	
_	Charge any a	dditional	fees r	equired	by th	nis par	oer or c	redit any	ove	rpaymei	nt in the
	manner author		ove.		•			•		. ,	

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 3 of 4)

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE:	necessary to cover the additional time consume six-month period has expired before the defic abandoned. In those instances where author encountered in returning the papers to the PTC	thorization to charge an account, additional fees are of in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, itency is noted and corrected, the application is held ization to charge is included, processing delays are in Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be 5 O.G. 31-33).
6.	If any additional extension and/or f	ee is required, charge Account
	No03-3420	-
	AND/	OR
C	If any additional fee for claims is rendered.	equired, charge Account
		·
		·
		AL Ou
Reg. No	D.: 31,945	Scott R. Cox
Tel. No.	: (502) 589-4215.	(type or print name of practitioner) 400 West Market St., Suite 2200 P.O. Address
Custome	er No.:	Louisville, KY 40202

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 4 of 4)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Stefan O. Dick, et al.

Serial No. 09/649,903

Filing Date: Aug. 28, 2000

Attorney Docket No. P-1000

For: PACKAGING CONTAINER FOR

ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS

Art Unit: 3728

Examiner:

Mohandesi, Jila M.

Confirmation No. 7709

RECEIVED

FEB 1 9 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Box Non-Fee Amendment Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT

This is an amendment in response to the Office Action of the United States Patent and Trademark Office dated November 5, 2002.

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-17 remain in the application. Cancel Claims 18-21. Claims 1 and 4 were rejected under 35 U.S. Section 103 as being unpatentable over Pakeriasamy, U.S. Patent No. 5,857,573 in view of Lancesseur, U.S. Patent No. 5,432,214. In addition, Claims 2, 3 and 5-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pakeriasamy and Lancesseur, as applied to Claim 1, and further in view of a third patent, Martin, et al., U.S.